Minutes of a Planning Committee Meeting held in The Rackliff Centre Rusthall on **9th June** **2016** at 7:30 pm

MEMBERS PRESENT: Cllr Edwards (Chairman), Cllr Benoy, Cllr Punyer and Cllr Mrs Simmons.

OFFICER PRESENT: Su Denne

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC PRESENT: One

**1**. **Apologies for Absence:** Cllr Clark

**2.** **Declarations of Interest:** Cllr Edwards is a Trustee re application 16/504178/FULL

**3.** **Declarations of Lobbying:** None

**4.** **Minutes** of the meeting held **23rd May** 2016 were agreed. Cllr Edwards wrote a letter to Mid Kent Planning Support with regards to application 16/504000, expressing the Planning Committees surprise that the applicant had not only been given incorrect information but had had to register a formal retrospective planning application.

**5.** **Planning applications for discussion and decision**:

1. **Application Number:** 16/503870/FULL

**Proposal:** Construction of 4 no. one bedroom flats on un-used land

**Location:** Rear of 70 - 72 Rusthall High Street Rusthall Kent

**Recommend Refusal - Delegated**

* overdevelopment of land and not enough garden area
* frontage of property too close to footpath
* windows overlooking neighbouring properties
* no provision for car parking – already a major problem in Southwood Road
* designated bus stop outside the property, therefore no parking on the highway
* the double yellow lines are not the prescribed 10m from the corner of the High Street

1. **Application Number:** 16/504178/FULL

**Proposal:** Construction of new entrance porch, rendering of external walls and demolition of existing chimneys

**Location:** 6 Molyneux Almshouses Rusthall High Street Rusthall TN4 8SE

**Recommend Approval – Delegated**

1. **Application Number:** 16/504434/FULL

**Proposal:** Demolition of public toilets and garage and construction of new dwelling

**Location:** The Oak 33 Rusthall High Street Rusthall Kent TN4 8RX

**Recommend Refusal - Delegated**

It appears that issues raised in the refusal of the previous application and subsequent appeal have not been addressed, namely: Soundproofing, Vision Display and Overdevelopment

There also appears to be an issue with a street lamp that requires moving. Where would it move to?

**The Meeting Closed at 20.50**