

Minutes of a Planning Committee Meeting held in

The Rackliff Centre Lower Green Road on 11th February 2020 at 7.30pm

MEMBERS PRESENT: Cllr Edwards (Chair), Cllr Ellicott, Cllr Britcher –Allan, Cllr Smith and Cllr Funnell

OFFICER PRESENT: Su Denne

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC PRESENT: One

1. **Enquire whether anyone present intends to film, photograph and/or record the meeting** No one present intended to film, photograph and/or record the meeting.
2. **To receive and approve apologies for absence:** Cllr Ducklin
3. **Declarations of Personal and Prejudicial Interests-** None
4. **Declarations of Lobbying.** None
5. **Minutes** of the meeting held on **27th January** 2020 were agreed, and it was **RESOLVED** that the Chairman signed them as a true record
6. **Public Open Session** – The member of the public raised concerns that there will be no footpath when the listed wall is taken down and repositioned. There being no footpath in front of the cottages opposite. She was also concerned that the mirror attached above the wall would be removed, which is required for her to be able to cross the road safely.
7. **Planning application for discussion and decision**

a) **Application Numbers:** 19/03588/FULL & /19/03589/LBC

Proposal: Amendments to approved scheme for three new terraced dwellings (18/03853/FULL), to include loft rooms with dormer windows and roof-lights, changes to parking layout, small increase in footprint, fenestration changes and provision of separate front gates.

Location: Land Rear of Red Lion Lower Green Road Rusthall Kent TN4 8TW

Following the overriding decision by the Inspector, we recommend approval subject to the following conditions:

- The proposed new entrance to the driveway should have a paved treatment across it to maintain its character as a footpath.
- During the rebuilding of the wall, provisions must be made to allow pedestrians to safely navigate the footpath, as there is no pavement on the south side of Lower Green Road.
- The revised site plan does not show the new entrances for each dwelling and is very confusing. We strongly object to the three separate gates as we fail to see what the underlying security advantage is. They will create further damage to the listed wall.

Meeting closed at 20.35

..... Chairman

Dated